

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600050018-9

Intell 8
ICS-75-0964

3 September 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Priorities and Resources Subcommittee Members

SUBJECT : Thoughts on Priorities and Resources Subcommittee Tasks for Remainder of FY-76

1. Having established the prioritization of key S&T substance areas, I believe the most important task is to develop two parameters by which we can evaluate Community performance against the priority areas. These parameters are:

a. A means of determining specific resources expended against a given area; and


b. Some measure of efficiency, or degree of satisfaction of requirement.

2. In the first case, from our discussions of the current CIRIS status, it would appear that we have the basis for a system of determining resource allocations against specific areas, but it clearly is not operational yet. Therefore, one of the things we need to do is work out CIRIS reporting procedures such that the data will be in a form which we can use. This can be done; it is simply a matter of each representative working out acceptable procedures with his principals.

3. The question of how to measure how well the Community is using the resources allocated to a specific area is a good deal less straight forward. I think that we need to be able to do this in order to rationally recommend resource levels for a given area. Some possibilities come to mind--integration with KEP, feedback through the formal requirements loop, etc. All of these have shortcomings. I would suggest that this be a discussion topic, covering:

a. Do we really need/want an evaluation mechanism? and

b. How would we go about structuring such a mechanism?



25X1

Lt. Col., USAF
IC Staff Representative

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/03/16 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000600050018-9

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Distribution:

- 1 - Each Subcommittee member
- 1 - IC Registry
- 1 - MPRRD Reading
- 1 - [redacted] Chrono
- 1 - MPRRD Subject

25X1

25X1

IC/MPRRD: [redacted] (3Sep75)

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	S/MC				
4	DDS&T				
5	DDI	X			
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	D/DCI/IC			X	
9	D/DCI/NIO				
10	GC				
11	LC				
12	IG				
13	Compt				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/S				
16	DTR				
17	Asst/DCI				
18	AO/DCI				
19					
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE _____ Date _____					

Remarks:

3637 (1-75)

25X1

D) Executive Secretary
11/11/75
Date



St. 10/8

Executive Registry
50018-9
75-3210

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

INTELLIGENCE

08 JUL 1975

The Honorable William E. Colby
Director of Central Intelligence
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Bill:

The lack of community data standards remains a major deterrent to effective information exchange between intelligence analysts and inter-agency data bases. Suggested resolutions in the past have been ineffective because of difficulties in achieving agreement between agencies. I believe that a concerted effort against a part of the problem can achieve much-needed results in a relatively short time, and can act as a springboard toward achieving a more complete solution to the problem.

The files accessible through COINS represent such a bounded subset. I propose, therefore, to begin our efforts with those files. This approach has been discussed by my staff and the staffs of all involved agencies, as well as with the Information Handling Committee of USIB.

I have asked each COINS file sponsor to provide a representative to a Task Force under the COINS Project Manager, and I request that CIA provide a representative as well. The proposed format for inventorying the required information and a milestone schedule is included as Attachments A and B, respectively. The CIA representative should contact the COINS Project Manager, [redacted]

25X1

Sincerely,

Albert Hall
Albert C. Hall